

The Great Reset Snakes Are Slithering Together in Davos

Analysis by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

✓ Fact Checked

June 01, 2022

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › The Great Reset involves the demolition and radical overhaul of several interlocking pillars of civilization: technology, society, economy, environment and geopolitics. Food and health also fall within these categories
- › Through control of these core pillars, the World Economic Forum (WEF) and its globalist allies intend to seize control of all the nations of the world and centralize all power and wealth
- › The WEF is an organization that profits from famine and disease; it uses tragedies and fear to further its own agenda. It intends to dictate what you eat, what you own and what you think, under the guise of building a “sustainable future”
- › The WEF-led cabal believes in transhumanist and technocratic principles, and the technocratic system requires extensive surveillance and artificial intelligence-driven technologies to keep everyone in check
- › Technocrats actually believe they’re better, more evolved than the rest, and this superiority gives them the right to decide the fate of mankind. They also reject the notion of free will. Once you understand this basic mindset, it’s easier to understand why they think nothing of stripping you of your freedom and ability to make choices for yourself

In the video above, which is part of a larger "Great Reset" documentary series, Rebel News highlights the origins of the World Economic Forum¹ (WEF), its founder Klaus Schwab, and other key players, and [the WEF's central role in The Great Reset](#), which

promises (read: threatens) to overturn society and life as we know it in ways that are hard to imagine.

In summary, The Great Reset involves the demolition and radical overhaul of several interlocking pillars of civilization: technology, society, economy, environment and geopolitics. Food and health also fall within these categories. Through control of these core pillars, the WEF and its globalist allies intend to seize control of all the nations of the world and centralize all power and wealth.

The WEF's Plan

As noted by Rebel News, the WEF is an organization that profits from famine and disease; it uses tragedies and fear to further its own agenda — "one that dictates what you eat, what you own, what you think, under the guise of a 'sustainable future.'"

According to the WEF, capitalism is dead and we can no longer allow for free markets. Instead, we need a top-down governance, a New World Order, that can ensure "fair and equitable" distribution of dwindling resources, including energy and food. What they're really saying, however, is that soon-to-be-useless people are gobbling up "their" resources. They see us — you and me — as an existential threat to their luxurious lifestyle.

So, their decades-old plan is to seize control of it all, transfer all wealth and private ownership into their own hands, and centrally control who gets what and when. It's important to realize that this WEF-led cabal believes in transhumanist and technocratic principles.

What Is Technocracy?

Technocracy is at its core an economic system, not a political one. However, it's wholly unnatural, and therefore also requires unnatural means to keep it going. Rather than being based on common pricing mechanisms such as supply and demand or free

commerce, the economy of technocracy is based on energy resources, which then dictate the types of products being produced, bought, sold and consumed.

“ The technocratic system requires extensive surveillance and artificial intelligence-driven technologies to keep everyone in check. ”

In essence, energy replaces the concept of money as a commodity. That's strange enough, but it gets stranger still. Technocracy, which emerged in the 1930s during the height of the Great Depression, the brainchildren of which were scientists and engineers, also requires social engineering to work.

If people are allowed to do what they want, consumer demand ultimately drives commerce, but that won't fly in a technocratic economy. Instead, consumers need to be directed, herded if you will, to consume that which the system needs them to consume, and in order for that to happen, they need to be more or less brainwashed. As a result, the technocratic system requires extensive surveillance and artificial intelligence-driven technologies to keep everyone in check.

Understanding the Mind of the Technocrats

As Schwab himself has declared on many occasions, they want a society in which humans are merged with machine and artificial intelligence (AI). They look forward to extreme longevity, if not immortality through technological means.

They place no value on spiritual ideas such as the survival of the soul. They don't believe in the nonlocality of consciousness. If they did, they wouldn't believe consciousness can simply be uploaded into a synthetic body. They believe that, through technology and AI, they will be able to replace God and the natural order with reengineered lifeforms of their own creation, including a reengineered humanity.

They actually believe they're better, more evolved than the rest of us, and this superiority gives them the right to decide the fate of mankind. They also reject the notion of free will.² Once you understand this basic mindset, it's easier to understand why they think nothing of stripping you of your freedom and ability to make choices for yourself. As noted by Tessa Lena in "[The Mind of a Technocrat: What Drives Them?](#)":

"To a technocrat, a human being is an imperfect machine, a humble meat bag that is operated by software, which is produced by the brain. The technocrat's understanding of life is based on a very primitive, linear vision; it's void of spiritual mystery ...

The force driving the mind of a technocrat is the overbearing emotional need for total control, combined with mistrust for other people in general. They seemingly look to compensate for their emotional poverty. (In other words, there is no reason to admire their successes as their successes are based on theft of other people's right to free will.)

The technocrats' desire to fully control their surroundings is anxiety-driven. They simply can't stand the feeling of uncertainty that comes with allowing other people's subjective choices to play any role. They don't trust others to do the right thing, much like a very neurotic parent doesn't trust his child's ability to choose wisely without supervision – but far less benevolently.

Their desire for control is intensely neurotic. They are sitting on needles, so to speak (a Russian idiom and a pun in the light of today) – and in order to dampen their anxiety, they resort to trying to implement their controlling ambitions ...

Technocrats may think they are the cream of the crop. They may think that their brilliant vision is good for the world. But regardless of whether they believe themselves to be the good guys or the bad guys, their thirst for total control is a pathological, anxiety-driven expression. They can't stand being dependent on other people's free will, and so they aspire to squash it, which is not existentially right."

Annual Meeting in Davos

Each year, the WEF holds a meeting in Davos, Switzerland. Thousands of global movers and shakers fly in on private jets to decide how best to stop the working class from driving gas-powered cars, heating their homes and eating meat. Does anyone still believe that a bunch of billionaire "elites" can make life "fair and equitable" for everyone?

Attendees include corporate executives, bankers and financiers, heads of state, finance and trade ministers, central bankers, policymakers, the heads of international organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank, the World Trade Organization, the Bank for International Settlements, the United Nations and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD).

Many academics, economists, political scientists, journalists, cultural elites and celebrities are also invited.

This year's meeting took place May 22 through 26.³ On the first day, participants were treated to an immersive experience of the metaverse in their own digital avatar. Essentially, this is where they want to bring the masses of mankind – into a digital reality where enjoyment of resources doesn't involve actual use of real-world resources. For example, rather than buying clothes for your biological body, you'll spend digital currency on a wardrobe for your digital avatar.

Day 2 included a discussion about how manufacturing companies can accelerate their implementation of automation. The idea is to replace most of the human workforce with robotics and AI. As you might expect, this will render large portions of humanity superfluous and "useless." What to do with them all? Professor Yuval Noah Harari, a Schwab adviser, has stated he believes the answer will be a combination of "drugs and video games."⁴

Haves and Have Nots Among Journalists



Avi Yemini 
@OzraeliAvi




I just bumped into the @nytimes Deputy Managing Editor, @RBlumenstein, in Davos.

I asked her how is the public supposed to trust the NYT reporting here when she's an INVITED GUEST of the World Economic Forum.

Her response says it all.

Go to [WEFreports.com](https://www.wefreports.com) for more.



12:16 AM · May 23, 2022



 40.4K  Reply  Share

[Read 1.5K replies](#)

Among the journalists invited to the 2022 meeting was New York Times managing editor Rebecca Blumenstein. Rebel News reporter Avi Yemini confronted Blumenstein in Davos (video above), asking how the public is supposed to trust the NYT's reporting on the event when she's an invited guest. Blumenstein refused to answer the question, thereby cementing the impression that she's really not there as an independent journalist. She's part of the event. She's part of the club.

Additional evidence that not all journalists are equal was evidenced by the attempt by armed WEF police officers to detain conservative journalist Jack Posobiec.⁵ When other journalists got involved and started filming and asking questions, the police took off.

The fact that the WEF has ITS OWN police force should be a wakeup call. Clearly, they're far more than just another nongovernmental organization (NGO).

Sustainable Development Is Technocracy

Many of the terms we've heard more and more of in recent years refer to technocracy under a different name. Examples include sustainable development, Agenda 21, the 2030 Agenda, the New Urban Agenda, green economy, the green new deal and the climate change movement in general.

They all refer to and are part of technocracy and resource-based economics. Other terms that are synonymous with technocracy include the Great Reset,⁶ the Fourth Industrial Revolution⁷ and the slogan Build Back Better.⁸ The Paris Climate Agreement is also part and parcel of the technocratic agenda.

The common goal of all these movements and agendas is to capture all of the resources of the world – the ownership of them – for a small global elite group that has the know-how to program the computer systems that will ultimately dictate the lives of everyone. It's really the ultimate form of totalitarianism.

When they talk about "wealth redistribution," what they're really referring to is the redistribution of resources from us to them. The WEF has publicly announced that by 2030, you will own nothing. Everything you need you will rent – from them – and deciding factors for what you're allowed to rent will include things like your carbon credits and social credit score.

Gone will be the days of putting in a day's work, receiving a paycheck and spending it to your heart's content. No, the digital currency will be programmable, so the issuer can decide when and what you can spend it on, based on the data in your digital identity. This will all be automated and run by AI, of course, so there won't be anyone to complain to.

What the Green Revolution Is All About

While "going green" sounds and feels like the right thing to do, it's becoming imperative for people to understand what the green agenda is really all about. Shocking as it may sound, the green agenda was invented, fabricated, by the Club of Rome (a scientific think tank allied with the WEF) to justify a depopulation agenda.⁹

The need for population control is described in the 1972 book, "The Limits to Growth,"¹⁰ which warned that "if the world's consumption patterns and population growth continued at the same high rates of the time, the earth would strike its limits within a century."

Then, in the 1991 book, "The First Global Revolution,"¹¹ the Club of Rome argued that depopulation policies might gain widespread support if they could be linked to an existential fight against a common enemy. An excerpt from "The First Global Revolution" reads:¹²

"In searching for a common enemy against whom we can unite, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like, would fit the bill."

So, in plain English, the intended result of "going green" is depopulation. This intention is now finally becoming visible when you consider the implications of eliminating oil and gas production without having viable alternatives in place, which is what Biden and other global leaders are in the process of doing.

Not only do you need oil to make fertilizer, but we also don't have farm equipment that can run on solar or wind power. So, food production essentially grinds to a halt. Heavy construction machinery also can't run on these alternative sources of energy, so there go the infrastructure and home building businesses.

To many, it seems these global leaders are acting out of ignorance, but it's quite possible their actions are intentional. It's just that no one wants to consider that the intention is to harm as many people as possible — to actually rid the planet of soon-to-be "useless" people.

It may be quite chilling to realize that the climate change threat narrative was cooked up in the late 1980s for the sole purpose of being able to implement a global depopulation agenda without stirring up excessive resistance. But depopulation and eugenics are at the heart of what the WEF and its allies are trying to achieve.

The WEF even admits they're using the Club of Rome's "planetary emergency plan" to provide "a new compass for nations" to follow.¹³ So, the WEF and its allied nations are all following a plan that has depopulation as an admitted intended end result.

Phase 2 of the Great Reset: War

As I discussed in "[Phase 2 of the Great Reset: War](#)," the drums of war are also part and parcel of The Great Reset plan. Why? Because war will accelerate the economic collapse required before nations can "Build Back Better."¹⁴ The conflict between Russia and Ukraine is helping to catalyze The Great Reset in a number of different ways.

For starters, supply chains of all kinds are being disrupted at an unprecedented level and pace by the war between Russia and Ukraine. Fuel shortages and inflation are also taking off. Geopolitical tensions may also trigger stagflation, an economic situation in which inflation and unemployment rates are high while economic growth slows.¹⁵

It's a precarious dilemma for economic policy, because strategies that help lower inflation can also make unemployment worse. You can learn more about this in the March 10, 2022, Conversation article, "[Why Stagflation Is an Economic Nightmare](#)."¹⁶

The end result is increased dependence on government subsidies, and this is a clear goal of The Great Reset. Universal basic income is one planned strategy that will create dependency. It will also ensure we're all equally poor and unable to threaten their monopoly on power and wealth.

Universal poverty is really what they mean when they talk about making the world "fair and equitable." No one will have anything. Everyone will be equally poor and dependent, without hope of ever being able to rise into the "elite" technocratic class.

The Ukraine war is also reducing Europe's reliance on Russian energy, thereby reinforcing the urgency of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. In lockstep with The Great Reset, policymakers around the world are using the sanctions against the Russian energy sector to accelerate the transition to "green" energy, the intention behind which is what I just discussed.

Russia's decision to block exports of fertilizer and food crops in response to being deplatformed from the Swift system will also create food shortages, and this too plays right into the Great Reset plan. In recent years, we've been urged by Great Reset front men like Bill Gates to stop eating real meat and switch to synthetic lab-grown meat instead.

Making people reliant on patented synthetic food will benefit the globalists in more ways than one. People will get sicker, and hence more reliant on government aid. They'll be dependent on food produced by monopolies and hence easier to control. And, over time, as people forget how to grow and raise food, the ability to control the global population will increase.

In addition to all of this, media are chumming the waters with fearporn about monkeypox – just in time for the push to [relinquish national sovereignty to the World Health Organization](#), which is also allied with the WEF.

In closing, Michael Osterholm's report from the 2010 Davos meeting, which was aflame with talks about pandemic planning, having just gone through the 2009/2010 swine flu pandemic is quite telling. All those years ago, he wrote:¹⁷

"I learned much in Davos, but I was troubled by the complete lack of attention to such critical questions as:

- ***How do we protect global supply chains when we face another inevitable pandemic that could bring about widespread, severe illness? ...***
- ***How do we take the lessons we've learned from our experience with H1N1 and embed them into our organizations so they're not forgotten?***

Instead, the tenor of the conversations at Davos was about globalization ..."

Twelve years ago, the Davos billionaires, bankers and heads of state had the opportunity to prove they were capable of stewarding this Earth ship. But after the swine flu pandemic, they didn't solve the problems that had become apparent.

They didn't solve the supply chain issues, and we had the same but worse issues when COVID came along. The only thing they solved was how to silence the critics. Back then, there was talk that "heads should roll" because of mismanagement of the pandemic.

Well, no heads rolled. Everything stayed the same, and now we've gone through two years of the worst pandemic mismanagement imaginable. Now, the globalist cabal is pushing for the inept WHO to become the sole decision-maker in pandemics, which the WHO would be able to declare at will, based on its own definitions. We're at a very dangerous crossroads.

We have to remember, though, that the fate of the world is not for Schwab and the Davos crowd to decide. It's ours. If we do not resist their plans, we've made the choice to accept their version of the future.

Sources and References

- ¹ [TNI.org WEF History](#)
- ² [The Guardian September 14, 2018](#)
- ³ [WEF Program 2022](#)
- ⁴ [YouTube April 13, 2022](#)
- ⁵ [Washington Examiner May 23, 2022](#)
- ⁶ [Technocracy.news June 25, 2020](#)
- ⁷ [Gov.uk The Fourth Industrial Revolution](#)
- ⁸ [UN.org April 22, 2020](#)
- ^{9, 12} [Unlimited Hangout February 20, 2021](#)
- ¹⁰ [Club of Rome, The Limits to Growth](#)
- ¹¹ [Club of Rome, The First Global Revolution](#)
- ¹³ [Weforum.org January 13, 2020](#)
- ¹⁴ [Winter Oak March 9, 2022](#)
- ^{15, 16} [The Conversation March 10, 2022](#)
- ¹⁷ [Cidrap February 4, 2010](#)